Institution: Wichita State Unive	rsity Contact Per	sonGary		Date: M March 1	larch 1,2008 1, 2008		
Regents System GoalA: Efficiency/Effe	Regents System GoalA: Efficiency/Effectiveness/Seamlessness						
Institutional Goal 1: Expand academic services for faculty, staff and students through development of new facilities and expansion of electronic services							
Key Performance Indicator (Data)	Baseline	Targets	Performance Outcome		Amount of Directional Improvement		
The number of student credit hours at the West Campus	Rolling average for last three calendar years:2003, 2004, 2005 16,022 SCH	2007: 5% increse or 16,823 2008: 3% increase o 17,304 2009: 3% increase o 17,784	increase	or an 8%	Target met		

The number of college advisors who

The number of classrooms equipped with audio/video/data projection capabilities.	125 of 336 classrooms	45 additional technologyequipped classrooms over the next three years 2007: 15 2008: 15 2009: 15	30 more classrooms were newlyqeipped with AV/data projection capabilities in 2007	Target met
The number of queries by end users of the Data Extractor within the new Sungard HESCT system.	12 queries in 2005 by academic colleges	2007: 4000 queries by end users in the academic colleges 2008: 8000 queries by end users in academic colleges 2009: 12,000 queries by end users in the academic colleges and in academic support areas(e.g student health, housing, and registrar)	A total of 8,714 queries were used 54 distinct end users throughout the university 3,135 were queries by academic units, including every academic college.	Significant progress towardtarget.
The number of uses of the electronic workflow (business) processes in the newly implemented ERP (Enterprise Resource Planning) software.	No electronic workflows are developed and none are currently being used.	Within three years, WSU will develop, test, evaluate, 6 new workflow processes. 2007: 250 uses of 2 workflows 2008: 500 ses of 4 work flows 2009: 1000 uses of 6 workflows	3 workflow processes; purchase requisition (5,736 uses), budget adjustments (2,577 us and journal vouchers (15,862 uses) were developed, tested, and have been implemented. Evaluation is going.	

Key Performance Indicator 4: Extracting data for effective and efficient decision making at the college level

Data Collection: Training logs and usage will be monitored.

Targets: In the pastmost departments and colleges requestate centrally from the Office of Institutional Research he data extractor in banner was only used 12 times in 2005 by end users in the academic areas of the defitting the advent of the new RP system, individuals across campushave been trained use the data extractor and reporting isses to meet commonly recurring informational needs anticipated a dramatic increase when we projected the 400e 8714 queries were made by 54 different extensions across the university with 135 from academic units. Additionally, academic tention to use the legacy system for data retrieval during this transition his increases reflective of the growing demand for ready access, data ease for retrieving this data for timely decision image and the rapid progress of the ERP implementation. The end users have become more comfortable with the system and are more likely to expand their usage to meet ot decision making needs he demand for data for accreditation in Engineering and Business had an impation the numbers of queries

Key Performance Indicator 5: Workflow projects

Data Collection: Documentation of new workflow processes will be part of the next phase South and HE Banner mplementation

Key Performance Indicator 2: Synthesis of ideas and making judgments as measured by NSSE

Data Collection: Results of the National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) paalastandardized student survey examining educational practices as viewed by freshmen and servicense repeated in the 2007.

Targets: The target is to reach at least 75% of students reporting "quite a bit" or "very much" on attleasts the two items related to course we emphasing synthesis and making judgments the three-gearperiod. The items related to Academic Challenge in the NSSE reflect some concast reported by students. WSU has decided to focus on the two exital teraching synthesis of concepts and ideas and making judgments bas information. The other areas in NSSE previously included in the performance agreements (i.e. active and collaborative teraculty interaction) will continue to be decreased by faculty and staff but are not included in this document. Any movement in NSSE scores is a seased on nationally reported information as well as the three previous administrations at the stage of the stage of the seasons that focus on ways to engage students in projects requiring the partition of the protects and ideas as well on making judgments.

Key Performance Indicator 3: Improved performance on Seni q 3s9-(: ET Q q 1(ovm [(KI)3(mj)32(f)3(or)orre W n)-1(i)5(c)1234,(e)1(on)-

graduate sthis measure helps the student and the university understand at least the student's perception of these experiences on the contract of the student and the university understand at least the student's perception of these experiences on the contract of the student and the university understand at least the student's perception of these experiences on the contract of the student and the university understand at least the student's perception of these experiences on the contract of the student and the university understand at least the student's perception of these experiences on the contract of the student and the university understand at least the student's perception of these experiences of the contract of the student and the university understand at least the student's perception of the student and the university understand at least the student's perception of the student and the university understand at least the student's perception of the student and the student and the student at least the student and the student at least the stu findings were presented to the Faculty Senate and the Department Chairs. The Center for Teaching and Research Excellence is will be worked to the Faculty Senate and the Department Chairs. Faculty

Regents System Goat: Improve Workforce Development					
Institutional Goal 3: Respond to workforce needs by increasing the number of graduates prepared in the sciences and engineering					
Key Performance Indicator (Data)	Baseline	Targets	Performance Outcome	Amount of Directional Improvement	

The number baccalaureate graduate in the sciences/mathematics (i.e.

NARRATIVE — INSTITUTIONAL GOAL 3: Respond to workforce needs by increasing thnumber of graduates prepared in science and engineering.

Key Performance Indicator 1: Graduates in the sciences and engineering.

Data Collection: Student records database

Targets: The target is an increase the number of Bachelor's degrees in mathematics, sciences and engineering in response to the grc demands for these graduates in the workforce. Degrees in these areas have fluctuated from as low as 278 to as highly also to a highly also that the graduates. The target is to increase these numbers to 335 graduates. Meeting this target will require the forts as well as reallocation of financi aid scholarship dollars, making this a stretche met our goal overall but were slightly under the engineering graduates. We believe withe full implementation of the retention scholarships the graduation numbers will increase.

Key Performance Indicator 2: Cooperative education and internships with the College of Engineeing.

Data Collection: Records are maintained by the Office of Cooperative Education and the College of Engineering.

Targets: Beginning in Fall 2007, all engineering undergraduates will be required to complete thretheufothowing five options: cooperative education/internship experience, undergraduate research project with a faculty member, a community leadership experience, or a multidisciplinary project. The Collegenglineering has set a 69% target

demand in the workforce.

Comments: The indicators for this goal were selected on the basis of the national and local demand for more graduates in engitheering a sciences thath. Enrollments in engineering have increased this yeastby 67 students in the fall and 70 in the spring over past comparable semesters. The retention scholarships and focus on increased cooperative education placements are having a positive improbability increase the number of graduates ultimate goal is to graduate more students who can work in engineering and fixeless coience majors with a teaching certificate can help to improve the science preparation of students was as stimulate more interest in this field be Dean of Engineering has an aggressible for recruiting more minority students and women into engineering. While many of these will have long to effects, we are already seeing more students entering the program. The challenge will be to help these students put graduation to the retention scholarships, has adopted graduation requirements that will engage stirtle their experiencest WSU W(on s)3/Po5(n t)5(hd)6(W) 59 4287.6934 Tm [(a)-4(t)6(W)-4(S)6(U)]TJ ET Q q 30.876 4270.852 737.862 137.881